Quasicrystals in Physics

Justin Kulp

January, 21st, 2019



2 Penrose Tiles



4 Topology





Definition (Tiling)

A tiling of R^d is a non-empty countable collection of closed sets in R^d, T = {T_i : i ∈ I}, subject to the constraints that:
● U_{i∈I} T_i = R^d
● T_i^o ∩ T_j^o = Ø for i ≠ j

Definition (Tiling)

A tiling of R^d is a non-empty countable collection of closed sets in R^d, T = {T_i : i ∈ I}, subject to the constraints that:
● U_{i∈I} T_i = R^d
● T_i^o ∩ T_j^o = Ø for i ≠ j

 $\bigcirc T_i$ are the *tiles* of \mathcal{T} , and their equivalence classes up to congruence are the *prototiles* of \mathcal{T} , and \mathcal{T} is *admissible* by that set of prototiles.

Definition (Tiling)

A tiling of R^d is a non-empty countable collection of closed sets in R^d, T = {T_i : i ∈ I}, subject to the constraints that:
● U_{i∈I} T_i = R^d
● T_i^o ∩ T_j^o = Ø for i ≠ j

- $\bigcirc T_i$ are the *tiles* of \mathcal{T} , and their equivalence classes up to congruence are the *prototiles* of \mathcal{T} , and \mathcal{T} is *admissible* by that set of prototiles.
- \bigcirc The symmetries of \mathcal{T} are isometries that map \mathcal{T} onto itself, and \mathcal{T} is nonperiodic if it has no translational symmetry.

Background: Tiling

Periodic tiling by M.C. Escher







 \bigcirc In 1961 Hao Wang asked if there was a decision procedure to determine if a set of square prototiles (equipped with the rule that adjacent colours must match) would tile \mathbb{R}^2 .



- \bigcirc In 1961 Hao Wang asked if there was a decision procedure to determine if a set of square prototiles (equipped with the rule that adjacent colours must match) would tile \mathbb{R}^2 .
 - Decision procedure iff any set of dominoes tiles the plane nonperiodically also tiles it periodically.



- ◇ In 1961 Hao Wang asked if there was a decision procedure to determine if a set of square prototiles (equipped with the rule that adjacent colours must match) would tile \mathbb{R}^2 .
 - Decision procedure iff any set of dominoes tiles the plane nonperiodically also tiles it periodically.
 - \sim Using 20,426 prototiles, Robert Berger showed a set of prototiles tiled \mathbb{R}^2 only nonperiodically.



- ◇ In 1961 Hao Wang asked if there was a decision procedure to determine if a set of square prototiles (equipped with the rule that adjacent colours must match) would tile \mathbb{R}^2 .
 - Decision procedure iff any set of dominoes tiles the plane nonperiodically also tiles it periodically.
 - \sim Using 20, 426 prototiles, Robert Berger showed a set of prototiles tiled \mathbb{R}^2 only nonperiodically.
- \bigcirc A set of prototiles that only admits nonperiodic tilings is called *aperiodic*.

Background: Quasicrystals

 \bigcirc In 1982 Dan Shechtman (2011 Nobel Prize, Chemistry) produced a sample of Al₆Mn with the diffraction pattern



Background: Quasicrystals

 \bigcirc In 1982 Dan Shechtman (2011 Nobel Prize, Chemistry) produced a sample of Al₆Mn with the diffraction pattern



 \sim Classically forbidden diffraction pattern.

Background: Quasicrystals

 \bigcirc In 1982 Dan Shechtman (2011 Nobel Prize, Chemistry) produced a sample of Al₆Mn with the diffraction pattern



- \sim Classically forbidden diffraction pattern.
- > Explainable as the diffraction of a lattice described by a quasiperiodic function: $\sin(x) + \sin(\tau x)$.

 \diamondsuit In 1977 Martin Gardner revealed Roger Penrose's "P2" tiling, the Kites and Darts



 \diamondsuit In 1977 Martin Gardner revealed Roger Penrose's "P2" tiling, the Kites and Darts



 \sim The tiles are free to rotate/flip.

 \diamondsuit In 1977 Martin Gardner revealed Roger Penrose's "P2" tiling, the Kites and Darts



- \sim The tiles are free to rotate/flip.
- Subject to matching rule that black and white vertices join, or red and green lines go unbroken (Robinson's rules).

 \bigcirc In 1977 Martin Gardner revealed Roger Penrose's "P2" tiling, the Kites and Darts



 \implies The tiles are free to rotate/flip.

- Subject to matching rule that black and white vertices join, or red and green lines go unbroken (Robinson's rules).
- \bigcirc The Kites and Darts are an aperiodic set of prototiles.

Penrose Tiles: A P2 Tiling



 \diamond The Penrose P2 tiles are equivalent to the P3 Penrose tiles



 \bigcirc The Penrose P2 tiles are equivalent to the P3 Penrose tiles



○ The P2 and P3 Penrose tiles are *mutually locally derivable*, one can be obtained from the other by a local map.

Penrose Tiles: A P3_<u>Tiling</u>



 \bigcirc There are many equivalent ways to generate the Penrose tilings, beyond matching rules

- \bigcirc There are many equivalent ways to generate the Penrose tilings, beyond matching rules
 - \sim Ammann lines
 - \sim Cut and project method
 - $<\!\!>$ de Bruijn's pentagrids
 - Substitution rules (imperfect substitution rules)

- \bigcirc There are many equivalent ways to generate the Penrose tilings, beyond matching rules
 - \sim Ammann lines
 - \sim Cut and project method
 - $\, < \! > \,$ de Bruijn's pentagrids
 - Substitution rules (imperfect substitution rules)



- \diamondsuit There are many equivalent ways to generate the Penrose tilings, beyond matching rules
 - \sim Ammann lines
 - \sim Cut and project method
 - $\, < \! > \,$ de Bruijn's pentagrids
 - Substitution rules (imperfect substitution rules)



 \diamond There is an uncountable number of distinct Penrose tilings

- There are many equivalent ways to generate the Penrose tilings, beyond matching rules
 - \sim Ammann lines
 - \sim Cut and project method
 - $\, < \! > \,$ de Bruijn's pentagrids
 - Substitution rules (imperfect substitution rules)



- \diamond There is an uncountable number of distinct Penrose tilings
- ◇ Each Penrose MLD-class is *locally indistinguishable*. Any finite patch of a Penrose tiling occurs in every other tiling.



















Penrose Tiles: Legal Vertices

 \bigcirc The only legal configurations around a vertex in a Penrose tiling are


Penrose Tiles: Legal Vertices

 \bigcirc The only legal configurations around a vertex in a Penrose tiling are



◇ A natural quasicrystal cannot adjust itself for the non-locality in laying Penrose tiles

Penrose Tiles: Legal Vertices



Ammann Lines: Introduction

◇ Ammann came up with a marking of Penrose tiles, equivalent to the regular matching rules, now called Ammann lines



- \bigcirc We can now see the quasicrystalline nature of the Penrose tiles
 - Ammann lines alternate long and short as a one-dimensional quasilattice, and clearly shows non-periodicity

- \bigcirc We can now see the quasicrystalline nature of the Penrose tiles
 - Ammann lines alternate long and short as a one-dimensional quasilattice, and clearly shows non-periodicity
 - Ammann lines show the long range order of a Penrose tile, putting a tile down forces a whole line of options along each Ammann line

- \bigcirc We can now see the quasicrystalline nature of the Penrose tiles
 - Ammann lines alternate long and short as a one-dimensional quasilattice, and clearly shows non-periodicity
 - Ammann lines show the long range order of a Penrose tile, putting a tile down forces a whole line of options along each Ammann line
- In "Coxeter Pairs, Ammann Patterns and Penrose-like Tilings" Steinhardt and Boyle construct a set of *irreducible* Ammann patterns from specific pairs of crystallographic and non-crystallographic finite Coxeter groups.

Only fleshed out for groups such that $d_{nc}/d_c = 2$.

- \bigcirc We can now see the quasicrystalline nature of the Penrose tiles
 - Ammann lines alternate long and short as a one-dimensional quasilattice, and clearly shows non-periodicity
 - Ammann lines show the long range order of a Penrose tile, putting a tile down forces a whole line of options along each Ammann line
- In "Coxeter Pairs, Ammann Patterns and Penrose-like Tilings" Steinhardt and Boyle construct a set of *irreducible* Ammann patterns from specific pairs of crystallographic and non-crystallographic finite Coxeter groups.

> Only fleshed out for groups such that $d_{nc}/d_c = 2.$

non-crystallographic root system θ^{\parallel}	crystallographic partner θ	degree $N = d/d^{\parallel}$
$I_2^p \ (p \text{ any prime} \ge 5)$	A_{p-1}	(p-1)/2
$I_2^{2^m}$ (<i>m</i> any integer ≥ 3)	$B_{2^{m-1}}/C_{2^{m-1}}$	2^{m-2}
I_{2}^{12}	F_4	2
I_{2}^{30}	E_8	4
H_3	D_6	2
H_4	E_8	2

 \bigcirc The 2D Ammann lines that are grids of 1D quasicrystals:

- \bigcirc The 2D Ammann lines that are grids of 1D quasicrystals:
 - > 1 set with 5/10-fold symmetry
 - $<\!\!>$ 2 sets with 8-fold symmetry
 - > 3 sets with 12-fold symmetry

 \bigcirc The 2D Ammann lines that are grids of 1D quasicrystals:

- > 1 set with 5/10-fold symmetry
- > 2 sets with 8-fold symmetry
- $<\!\!>$ 3 sets with 12-fold symmetry
- \bigcirc There is reason to believe these should be the simplest.

- \bigcirc The 2D Ammann lines that are grids of 1D quasicrystals:
 - > 1 set with 5/10-fold symmetry
 - > 2 sets with 8-fold symmetry
 - $<\!\!>$ 3 sets with 12-fold symmetry
- \bigcirc There is reason to believe these should be the simplest.
- \bigcirc 8-fold tiling with Ammann lines



Ammann Lines: Vertex Tiles

◇ When we reconfigure the tiles on the Ammann lines in a different way, vertices mark the tiles in very different ways.



Ammann Lines: Vertex Tiles

◇ When we reconfigure the tiles on the Ammann lines in a different way, vertices mark the tiles in very different ways.



We introduce a vertex prototile to alleviate these discrepancies. Should it have been there all along?

Ammann Lines: 8 Fold Tiles

○ The 8-fold Ammann lines effectively force us to remark our square/rhomb/vertex tile as follows



Ammann Lines: 8 Fold Tiles

○ The 8-fold Ammann lines effectively force us to remark our square/rhomb/vertex tile as follows



 \bigcirc Are these prototiles equivalent to the regular 8-fold tiles?

Topology: Introduction

Treating the matching rule arrows as "charges," the Penrose tiles have no net charge when you travel a path around a tile (and thus a patch).



Topology: Introduction

Treating the matching rule arrows as "charges," the Penrose tiles have no net charge when you travel a path around a tile (and thus a patch).



 Topological properties of a defected tiling could lead to interesting math/physics

de Bruijn Multigrids



Degenerate Structure



Figure 4.2: The orthogonal dual of the singular pentagrid with $\gamma_j = 0$ for all j.



Figure 4.3: The decagon in Figure 4.2 corresponds to the ten possible rotations of this patch.



23 / 39

○ Defected tiling, pointed out by John Conway. Can be seen as one of the most defected tilings (via Ammann lines or Pentagrid construction).

- O Defected tiling, pointed out by John Conway. Can be seen as one of the most defected tilings (via Ammann lines or Pentagrid construction).
- ◇ In each of the ten directions an infinitely long strip of forced tiles or "Conway Worms" extends from the central decapod.

- O Defected tiling, pointed out by John Conway. Can be seen as one of the most defected tilings (via Ammann lines or Pentagrid construction).
- ◇ In each of the ten directions an infinitely long strip of forced tiles or "Conway Worms" extends from the central decapod.
 - Seach Conway worm can be flipped to produce a different valid decapod.

- O Defected tiling, pointed out by John Conway. Can be seen as one of the most defected tilings (via Ammann lines or Pentagrid construction).
- ◇ In each of the ten directions an infinitely long strip of forced tiles or "Conway Worms" extends from the central decapod.
 - Seach Conway worm can be flipped to produce a different valid decapod.
 - Option of the second second

- O Defected tiling, pointed out by John Conway. Can be seen as one of the most defected tilings (via Ammann lines or Pentagrid construction).
- ◇ In each of the ten directions an infinitely long strip of forced tiles or "Conway Worms" extends from the central decapod.
 - Seach Conway worm can be flipped to produce a different valid decapod.
 - Option volume of the second second
- Travelling around the decapod we do not accumulate any two-arrow charge, but we accumulate a one-arrow charge of: 10, 8, 6, 4, 2, or 0.

- O Defected tiling, pointed out by John Conway. Can be seen as one of the most defected tilings (via Ammann lines or Pentagrid construction).
- ◇ In each of the ten directions an infinitely long strip of forced tiles or "Conway Worms" extends from the central decapod.
 - Seach Conway worm can be flipped to produce a different valid decapod.
 - Option of the second second
- Travelling around the decapod we do not accumulate any two-arrow charge, but we accumulate a one-arrow charge of: 10, 8, 6, 4, 2, or 0.
- The decapods cannot be differentiated by their single arrow charge. The decpod count is: 1, 1, 5, 12, 22 and 21 respectively (Pólya necklaces).

◇ In the Ammann 8 and 12-fold tilings the vertex tiles are forced, and generate the same tilings as the prototile sets without the vertices.

- ◇ In the Ammann 8 and 12-fold tilings the vertex tiles are forced, and generate the same tilings as the prototile sets without the vertices.
- $\bigcirc\,$ The Ammann lines on the 10-fold tilings do not force a vertex tile.

- In the Ammann 8 and 12-fold tilings the vertex tiles are forced, and generate the same tilings as the prototile sets without the vertices.
- \diamondsuit The Ammann lines on the 10-fold tilings do not force a vertex tile.
 - Can we construct a vertex tile for the Penrose tiling that adds a new set of charges and lifts the degeneracy on the Decapods?

 \bigcirc So we cannot split the Decapod degeneracy with one new charge. Two new charges is similar.

Penrose Vertex: The Future

- \bigcirc So we cannot split the Decapod degeneracy with one new charge. Two new charges is similar.
- \bigcirc Binary tiles?

Penrose Vertex: The Future

- \bigcirc So we cannot split the Decapod degeneracy with one new charge. Two new charges is similar.
- \bigcirc Binary tiles?
- \bigcirc Two vertex tiles?

- \bigcirc So we cannot split the Decapod degeneracy with one new charge. Two new charges is similar.
- \bigcirc Binary tiles?
- \bigcirc Two vertex tiles?
- \bigcirc Non-binary charges. $\mathfrak{sl}_3\mathbb{C}$ -type charge maybe?

 \Rightarrow Applications to lattice gauge theories, QFT, QG.

- \bigcirc So we cannot split the Decapod degeneracy with one new charge. Two new charges is similar.
- \bigcirc Binary tiles?
- \bigcirc Two vertex tiles?
- \bigcirc Non-binary charges. $\mathfrak{sl}_3\mathbb{C}$ -type charge maybe?
 - \Rightarrow Applications to lattice gauge theories, QFT, QG.
- ◇ Can we create the analagous Octapod and Dodecapod? Will their degenerate states be splittable?

- \bigcirc So we cannot split the Decapod degeneracy with one new charge. Two new charges is similar.
- \bigcirc Binary tiles?
- \bigcirc Two vertex tiles?
- \bigcirc Non-binary charges. $\mathfrak{sl}_3\mathbb{C}$ -type charge maybe?

 \Rightarrow Applications to lattice gauge theories, QFT, QG.

- Can we create the analagous Octapod and Dodecapod?
 Will their degenerate states be splittable?
- ◇ Is there a connection between Ammann Lines and games of billiards?

- \bigcirc So we cannot split the Decapod degeneracy with one new charge. Two new charges is similar.
- \bigcirc Binary tiles?
- \bigcirc Two vertex tiles?
- \bigcirc Non-binary charges. $\mathfrak{sl}_3\mathbb{C}$ -type charge maybe?
 - \Rightarrow Applications to lattice gauge theories, QFT, QG.
- Can we create the analagous Octapod and Dodecapod?
 Will their degenerate states be splittable?
- ◇ Is there a connection between Ammann Lines and games of billiards?
- ◇ Are there local matching rules for the 12-fold square-triangle tiling?
Ammann 8-Fold Tiling



27 / 39

Ammann 12-Fold Tiling



Square-Triangle Tiling



Octopod



30 / 39

Cut and Project



Cut And Project: 5-Fold (Penrose)



Cut And Project: 7-Fold



Cut And Project: 11-Fold



Cut And Project: 17-Fold



Other Junk: Holographic Quasicrystals



Other Junk: MERA



Other Junk: Topological Photonics

Topological Photonic Quasicrystals: Fractal Topological Spectrum and Protected Transport

Miguel A. Bandres,¹ Mikael C. Rechtsman,^{1,2} and Mordechai Segev¹

¹Physics Department and Solid State Institute, Technion, 32000 Haifa, Israel

²Department of Physics, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802, USA (Received 11 June 2015; revised manuscript received 24 September 2015; published 22 February 2016)

We show that it is possible to have a topological phase in two-dimensional quasicrystals without any magnetic field applied, but instead introducing an artificial gauge field via dynamic modulation. This topological quasicrystal exhibits scatter-free unidirectional edge states that are extended along the system's perimeter, contrary to the states of an ordinary quasicrystal system, which are characterized by power-law decay. We find that the spectrum of this Floquet topological quasicrystal exhibits a rich fractal (self-similar) structure of topological "minigaps," manifesting an entirely new phenomenon: fractal topological systems. These topological minigaps form only when the system size is sufficiently large because their gapless edge states penetrate deep into the bulk. Hence, the topological structure emerges as a function of the system size, contrary to periodic systems where the topological phase can be completely characterized by the unit cell. We demonstrate the existence of this topological phase both by using a topological index (Bott index) and by studying the unidirectional transport of the gapless edge states and its robustness in the presence of defects. Our specific model is a Penrose lattice of helical optical waveguides—a photonic Floquet quasicrystal; however, we expect this new topological quasicrystal phase to be universal.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevX.6.011016

Subject Areas: Optics, Photonics, Topological Insulators

Other Junk: Topological Insulators

Topological States and Adiabatic Pumping in Quasicrystals

Yaacov E. Kraus,¹ Yoav Lahini,² Zohar Ringel,¹ Mor Verbin,² and Oded Zilberberg¹ ¹Department of Condensed Matter Physics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, 76100, Israel ²Department of Physics of Complex Systems, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, 76100, Israel (Received 29 March 2012; published 4 September 2012)

The unrelated discoveries of quasicrystals and topological insulators have in turn challenged prevailing paradigms in condensed-matter physics. We find a surprising connection between quasicrystals and topological phases of matter: (i) quasicrystals exhibit nontrivial topological properties and (ii) these properties are attributed to dimensions higher than that of the quasicrystal. Specifically, we show, both theoretically and experimentally, that one-dimensional quasicrystals are assigned two-dimensional Chern numbers and, respectively, exhibit topologically protected boundary states equivalent to the edge states of a two-dimensional quantum Hall system. We harness the topological nature of these states to adiabatically pump light across the quasicrystal. We generalize our results to higher-dimensional systems and other topological indices. Hence, quasicrystals offer a new platform for the study of topological phases while their topology may better explain their surface properties.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.106402

PACS numbers: 71.23.Ft, 05.30.Rt, 42.70.Qs, 73.43.Nq