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■ Holomorphic QFTs depend only on the complex structure of the underlying manifold [Johansen], [Nekrasov], [Costello], [williams], ... .

- Local operators carry structure of a Holomorphic Factorization Algebra [Costello, Gwilliam].
- Example. 2d Chiral Algebra and/or Vertex Algebra
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- Anti-holomorphic translations are $Q$-exact, so twisted theory is (cohomologically) holomorphic
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\begin{equation*}
\left\{Q, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}\right\}=\partial_{\bar{z}^{\dot{\alpha}}} \tag{1}
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- Infinite dimensional symmetry enhancements analogous to Virasoro and Kac-Moody [Gwilliam, williams].
- Deformation $\rightsquigarrow$ [Beem, Lemos, Liendo, Peelaers, Raselli, van Rees]
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■ Operators captured by holomorphic twist are those counted by superconformal index [Saberi, williams]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}=\operatorname{Tr}(-1)^{F} p^{j_{1}+j_{2}-r / 2} q^{j_{1}-j_{2}-r / 2} e^{-\beta\left\{Q_{-}, S^{-}\right\}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Higher Brackets and Homotopy Transfer

■ Theories are equipped with local product called $\lambda$-Bracket
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- Higher brackets describe homotopy between lower brackets
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- Interacting quantum theory is obtained from underlying free-classical theory $\mathcal{V}$ as cohomology of a new operator
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\mathbf{Q}=Q_{0}+Q_{1}+Q_{2} \ldots \tag{5}
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where $Q_{n}$ is computed by $n$-loop Feynman diagrams.
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$■$ All perturbative corrections are contained in the higher brackets of the free holomorphic factorization algebra!

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{Q} \mathcal{O}=\{\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{O}\}_{0}+\{\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{O}\}_{0,0}+\{\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{O}\}_{0,0,0}+\ldots \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

- [Tree-level] $\sim 1 \mathcal{I},[1$-Loop $] \sim 2 \mathcal{I}$ 's, etc.


## FEYNMAN DIAGRAMS AND BOOTSTRAP

■ Feynman diagrams in theory must be Laman graphs.


- Arbitrary integral takes the form:
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■ Find that quadratic identities are sufficient to bootstrap Feynman integrals to at least 3-loops (perhaps further!)
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