HOLOMORPHIC QFTS: HIGHER STRUCTURES AND BOOTSTRAP STRINGS 2022

Justin Kulp with Kasia Budzik, Davide Gaiotto, Brian Williams, Jingxiang Wu and Matthew Yu.

PERIMETER INSTITUTE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

21/JUL/2022

HOLOMORPHIC QFTS AND HOLOMORPHIC TWISTS

- Holomorphic QFTs depend only on the complex structure of the underlying manifold [Johansen], [Nekrasov], [Costello], [Williams],
 - Local operators carry structure of a Holomorphic Factorization Algebra [Costello, Gwilliam].
 - Example. 2d Chiral Algebra and/or Vertex Algebra

HOLOMORPHIC QFTS AND HOLOMORPHIC TWISTS

- Holomorphic QFTs depend only on the complex structure of the underlying manifold [Johansen], [Nekrasov], [Costello], [Williams],
 - Local operators carry structure of a Holomorphic Factorization Algebra [Costello, Gwilliam].
 - Example. 2d Chiral Algebra and/or Vertex Algebra
- Given any SQFT, we obtain the **Holomorphic Twist** by taking cohomology of any one nilpotent supercharge, e.g. *Q* := *Q*_
 - Anti-holomorphic translations are Q-exact, so twisted theory is (cohomologically) holomorphic

$$\{Q, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}\} = \partial_{\bar{z}^{\dot{\alpha}}} \tag{1}$$

- Infinite dimensional symmetry enhancements analogous to Virasoro and Kac-Moody [Gwilliam, Williams].
- ▶ Deformation ~→ [Beem, Lemos, Liendo, Peelaers, Raselli, van Rees]

HOLOMORPHIC QFTS AND HOLOMORPHIC TWISTS

- Holomorphic QFTs depend only on the complex structure of the underlying manifold [Johansen], [Nekrasov], [Costello], [Williams],
 - Local operators carry structure of a Holomorphic Factorization Algebra [Costello, Gwilliam].
 - Example. 2d Chiral Algebra and/or Vertex Algebra
- Given any SQFT, we obtain the **Holomorphic Twist** by taking cohomology of any one nilpotent supercharge, e.g. *Q* := *Q*_
 - Anti-holomorphic translations are Q-exact, so twisted theory is (cohomologically) holomorphic

$$\{Q, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}\} = \partial_{\bar{z}^{\dot{\alpha}}} \tag{1}$$

- Infinite dimensional symmetry enhancements analogous to Virasoro and Kac-Moody [Gwilliam, Williams].
- ▶ Deformation ~→ [Beem, Lemos, Liendo, Peelaers, Raselli, van Rees]
- Operators captured by holomorphic twist are those counted by superconformal index [Saberi, Williams]

$$\mathcal{I} = \text{Tr}(-1)^F p^{j_1 + j_2 - r/2} q^{j_1 - j_2 - r/2} e^{-\beta \{Q_-, S^-\}}$$
(2)

HIGHER BRACKETS AND HOMOTOPY TRANSFER

Theories are equipped with local product called λ -Bracket $\{\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2\}_{\lambda} = \oint_{c_3} e^{\lambda \cdot z} d^2 z \ \mathcal{O}_1(z, \bar{z}) \ \mathcal{O}_2(0)$ (3)

► **Higher brackets** describe homotopy between lower brackets $\{\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \dots, \mathcal{O}_{n+1}\}_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n}$ (4)

HIGHER BRACKETS AND HOMOTOPY TRANSFER

Theories are equipped with local product called λ **-Bracket**

$$\{\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2\}_{\lambda} = \oint_{S^3} e^{\lambda \cdot z} d^2 z \ \mathcal{O}_1(z, \bar{z}) \ \mathcal{O}_2(0) \tag{3}$$

► **Higher brackets** describe homotopy between lower brackets $\{\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \dots, \mathcal{O}_{n+1}\}_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n}$ (4)

■ Polynomials in fields and derivatives ~→ Free Cohomology V
▶ Interacting quantum theory is obtained from underlying free-classical theory V as cohomology of a new operator

$$\mathbf{Q} = Q_0 + Q_1 + Q_2 \dots \tag{5}$$

where Q_n is computed by *n*-loop Feynman diagrams.

HIGHER BRACKETS AND HOMOTOPY TRANSFER

Theories are equipped with local product called λ **-Bracket**

$$\{\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2\}_{\lambda} = \oint_{S^3} e^{\lambda \cdot z} d^2 z \ \mathcal{O}_1(z, \bar{z}) \ \mathcal{O}_2(0) \tag{3}$$

► **Higher brackets** describe homotopy between lower brackets $\{\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \dots, \mathcal{O}_{n+1}\}_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n}$ (4)

Polynomials in fields and derivatives ~> Free Cohomology V
Interacting quantum theory is obtained from underlying free-classical theory V as cohomology of a new operator

$$\mathbf{Q} = Q_0 + Q_1 + Q_2 \dots \tag{5}$$

where Q_n is computed by *n*-loop Feynman diagrams.

All perturbative corrections are contained in the higher brackets of the free holomorphic factorization algebra!

$$\mathbf{Q}\mathcal{O} = \{\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{O}\}_0 + \{\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{O}\}_{0,0} + \{\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{O}\}_{0,0,0} + \dots$$
 (6)

▶ [Tree-level] \sim 1 \mathcal{I} , [1-Loop] \sim 2 \mathcal{I} 's , etc.

Feynman diagrams in theory must be Laman graphs.



Arbitrary integral takes the form:

$$\mathcal{I}_{\Gamma}[\lambda;z] \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4|\Gamma_{0}|-4}} \bar{\partial} \left[\prod_{e \in \Gamma_{1}} \mathcal{P}(x_{e_{0}} - x_{e_{1}} + z_{e}, \bar{x}_{e_{0}} - \bar{x}_{e_{1}}) \right] \left[\prod_{v \in \Gamma_{0}'} e^{\lambda_{v} \cdot x_{v}} d^{2}x_{v} \right]$$
(7)

Feynman diagrams in theory must be Laman graphs.



Arbitrary integral takes the form:

$$\mathcal{I}_{\Gamma}[\lambda;z] \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4|\Gamma_{0}|-4}} \bar{\partial} \left[\prod_{e \in \Gamma_{1}} \mathcal{P}(x_{e_{0}} - x_{e_{1}} + z_{e}, \bar{x}_{e_{0}} - \bar{x}_{e_{1}}) \right] \left[\prod_{v \in \Gamma_{0}'} e^{\lambda_{v} \cdot x_{v}} d^{2} x_{v} \right]$$
(7)

 Change of variables maps integral to Fourier transform of a polytope in space of holomorphic loop momenta.

Feynman diagrams in theory must be Laman graphs.



Arbitrary integral takes the form:

$$\mathcal{I}_{\Gamma}[\lambda;z] \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4|\Gamma_{0}|-4}} \bar{\partial} \left[\prod_{e \in \Gamma_{1}} \mathcal{P}(x_{e_{0}} - x_{e_{1}} + z_{e}, \bar{x}_{e_{0}} - \bar{x}_{e_{1}}) \right] \left[\prod_{v \in \Gamma_{0}'} e^{\lambda_{v} \cdot x_{v}} d^{2} x_{v} \right]$$
(7)

Change of variables maps integral to Fourier transform of a polytope in space of holomorphic loop momenta. The Holohedron?

Feynman diagrams in theory must be Laman graphs.



Arbitrary integral takes the form:

$$\mathcal{I}_{\Gamma}[\lambda;z] \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4|\Gamma_{0}|-4}} \bar{\partial} \left[\prod_{e \in \Gamma_{1}} \mathcal{P}(x_{e_{0}} - x_{e_{1}} + z_{e}, \bar{x}_{e_{0}} - \bar{x}_{e_{1}}) \right] \left[\prod_{v \in \Gamma_{0}'} e^{\lambda_{v} \cdot x_{v}} d^{2}x_{v} \right]$$
(7)

- Change of variables maps integral to Fourier transform of a polytope in space of holomorphic loop momenta. The Holohedron?
 - Configuration spaces of graphs satisfy infinite collection of geometric quadratic identities; enforcing associativity

$$\sum_{S} \sigma(\Gamma, S) \, \mathcal{I}_{\Gamma[S]}[\lambda + \partial_{z'}; z] \, \mathcal{I}_{\Gamma(S)}[\lambda'; z'] = 0 \,. \tag{8}$$

Feynman diagrams in theory must be Laman graphs.



Arbitrary integral takes the form:

$$\mathcal{I}_{\Gamma}[\lambda;z] \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4}|\Gamma_{0}|-4} \bar{\partial} \left[\prod_{e \in \Gamma_{1}} \mathcal{P}(x_{e_{0}} - x_{e_{1}} + z_{e}, \bar{x}_{e_{0}} - \bar{x}_{e_{1}}) \right] \left[\prod_{v \in \Gamma_{0}'} e^{\lambda_{v} \cdot x_{v}} d^{2}x_{v} \right]$$
(7)

- Change of variables maps integral to Fourier transform of a polytope in space of holomorphic loop momenta. The Holohedron?
 - Configuration spaces of graphs satisfy infinite collection of geometric quadratic identities; enforcing associativity

$$\sum_{S} \sigma(\Gamma, S) \, \mathcal{I}_{\Gamma[S]}[\lambda + \partial_{z'}; z] \, \mathcal{I}_{\Gamma(S)}[\lambda'; z'] = 0 \,. \tag{8}$$

 Find that quadratic identities are sufficient to bootstrap Feynman integrals to at least 3-loops (perhaps further!)

