HYPERBOLIC HONEYCOMBS AND SELF-SIMILAR QUASICRYSTALS FOR QUANTUM FIELDS & STRINGS SEMINAR

Justin Kulp with Latham Boyle

PERIMETER INSTITUTE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

27/JAN/2023

ARXIV:230X.XXXXX

- I. Introduction and Motivation.
- II. Beyond Euclidean Lattices.
- III. Hyperbolic Quasicrystals 2d/1d.
- IV. Higher Hyperbolic Quasicrystals.
- V. Conclusion.

Three Punchlines

- 1. Hyperbolic lattices and quasicrystals
- 2. New quasiperiodic patterns
- 3. Applications to physics (holography, condensed matter)

INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

HISTORY: PATTERNS, TESSELLATIONS, AND LATTICES

Sumerians, ancient greece

- What shapes must a unit have to fill space without gaps by figures congruent to that unit?
- Point groups < O(d)
 - Infinitely many 2d point groups, \mathbb{Z}_n and D_{2n} .
- Translational symmetry
 - Crystallographic restriction theorem
 - Only 2,3,4,6-fold symmetry around a point in 2d and 3d
 - 17 Wallpaper Groups in 2d.



NO 5-FOLD SYMMETRY IN 2D/3D

- 1. Pick a site of 5-fold symmetry
- 2. Take your favourite nearby lattice site and hit it with your 5-fold symmetry action.
- 3. Generates a regular pentagon of sites in a plane of the lattice.
- 74. All pentagon displacement vectors must be in the lattice.
- 5. Add them up at a single point to generate sites forming a smaller pentagon.



HYPERBOLIC SPACE

- We can tile other surfaces
 - Sphere (EdS) and hyperbolic space (EAdS) are other maximally symmetric spaces.
 - Tilings of sphere closely related to existence of 3d polyhedra

Hyperbolic space is more novel

- Harder to intuit
- Applications in art, biology, network theory, and physics
- Hyperbolic band theory, tensor networks, error-correction (HaPPY code), "discrete holography"







A SIMPLE QUESTION. A CRAZY ANSWER.

- Given a set of prototiles τ with rule that colours must match, can you use them to tile R²?
 - Is there an algorithm to determine if \(\tau\) tiles the plane?



Options:

- 1. τ does not tile \mathbb{R}^2 .
- 2. τ tiles \mathbb{R}^2 and admits a periodic tiling.
- 3. τ tiles \mathbb{R}^2 but never admits periodic tilings. τ is an **aperiodic** set.
- ! There exists an algorithm to determine if τ tiles the plane iff all possible τ are of type 1 or type 2.
- ! There is a map from

 $\{\text{Turing Machines } M\} \mapsto \{\text{Tile Sets } \tau(M)\}$ (1)

such that M halts iff $\tau(M)$ tiles the plane.

QUASICRYSTALS

- Quasicrystals are aperiodic tilings which still possess order.
 - Aperiodic means no translation symmetry by any amount at all.
- Possess classically forbidden symmetries.
 - Spoil many classical intuitions
 - 2011 Nobel Prize in Chemistry
- Show up in many places
 - Material physics: built in labs, found in nature
 - CMT: lattice models, topological phases
 - Math: logic, non-commutative geometry
 - HEP: 2d CFT, discrete holography?



OUTLINES AND PUNCHLINES

- I. Introduction and Motivation.
- II. Beyond Euclidean Lattices.
- III. Hyperbolic Quasicrystals 2d/1d.
- IV. Higher Hyperbolic Quasicrystals.
- V. Conclusion.

Three Punchlines

- 1. Hyperbolic lattices and quasicrystals
- 2. New quasiperiodic patterns
- 3. Applications to physics (holography, condensed matter)



BEYOND EUCLIDEAN LATTICES

REGULAR TILINGS IN 2D

- In the Euclidean plane, the angle of a regular *p*-gon $\{p\}$ is $(1-2/p)\pi$
 - Regular tilings of \mathbb{R}^2 : {3,6}, {6,3}, {4,4}
 - In higher dimensions, must make sure that dihedral angles fit around edges, etc.
- In the Hyperbolic plane, angles of a regular p-gon gradually decrease to zero as the edges get bigger.
 - We can use this to tune the *p*-gons to fit around a vertex

$$egin{array}{ll} rac{1}{p}+rac{1}{q} & iggl\{ >rac{1}{2} & \{p,q\} ext{ tessellates } \mathbb{S}^2\ =rac{1}{2} & \{p,q\} ext{ tessellates } \mathbb{E}^2\ ,\ <rac{1}{2} & \{p,q\} ext{ tessellates } \mathbb{H}^2 \end{array}$$





REGULAR TILINGS IN 2D: PICTURES



REGULAR HONEYCOMBS IN HYPERBOLIC SPACE

- $\mathbb{H}^2 \ \{p,q\}$ where $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q} < \frac{1}{2}$
- $$\begin{split} \mathbb{H}^3 \ \ & \{4,3,5\} \text{, } \{5,3,4\} \text{, } \{5,3,5\} \text{,} \\ & \text{and} \ & \{3,5,3\} \text{ [right]} \end{split}$$
- $$\begin{split} \mathbb{H}^4 & \{3,3,3,5\} \text{, } \{5,3,3,3\} \text{,} \\ & \{4,3,3,5\} \text{, } \{5,3,3,4\} \text{, and} \\ & \{5,3,3,5\} \end{split}$$
- \mathbb{H}^5 Only some with non-finite cells and vertex figures.
- \mathbb{H}^d When d > 5, none at all.



THE {3,5,3} HYPERBOLIC HONEYCOMB OUTSIDE





QUASICRYSTALS

- Quasicrystals are aperiodic tilings which still possess order.
 - Aperiodic means no translation symmetry by any amount at all.
 - Define by their diffraction pattern: reciprocal lattice is spanned by Z-linear combinations of > d basis vectors in d dimensions.



- There are many ways to create a quasicrystal (not all of which are equivalent).
 - Constructions highlight different properties.
 - 1. Local Matching Rules.
 - 2. Ammann Lines. Long-range order
 - 3. Cut and Project. Forbidden symmetries
 - 4. Substitution Rules. Aperiodicity



CUT AND PROJECT



SUBSTITUTION RULES: DEFINITION



SUBSTITUTION RULES: IN ACTION



SUBSTITUTION RULES: IMPLIES APERIODIC

- Start with a finite set of (decorated) prototiles $\tau = \{T_1, \dots, T_N\}$
- Suppose there is a unique rule for grouping prototiles into larger "supertiles," with scale factor λ



- **Then** τ is an aperiodic set.
 - Ex. Penrose tiling has two prototiles; supertiles have $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{5})$.
 - Ex. (Non-Example) There is no unique/local way to group an unmarked square tiling into larger squares. Domain walls can form.
- Capture "combinatorial information" in the **substitution matrix**.
 - ! For aperiodic tilings, dominant eigenvalue is $\lambda^2 > 1$ and irrational.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{Thin}' \\ \text{Thick}' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \text{Thin} \\ \text{Thick} \end{pmatrix}$$
(2)

HOW MANY PENROSE TILINGS ARE THERE?

- ! There are an uncountable infinity of Penrose Tilings.
- Penrose tilings are Locally Indistinguishable: any finite-sized patch of tiles in one can be found in any other.
- Penrose tilings have local scale symmetry: take any tiling, decimate it, rescale it by λ. This new tiling is LID from the original (but globally distinct).



SUMMARY OF BACKGROUND

Hyperbolic space exists:

You can tile it with regular tessellations. There are infinitely many {p, q} tilings in 2d, and a few more in higher dimensions.

Quasicrystals exist:

- Aperiodic. Classically forbidden symmetries. Long-range order.
- Many constructions; most useful for us is existence of a unique (invertible) local substitution rule with irrational scale factor λ.
- Most famous example is the Penrose tiling.





HYPERBOLIC QUASICRYSTALS 2D/1D

GROWING HYPERBOLIC HONEYCOMBS



A GENERAL RULE IN 2D/1D



THE CLAIM



HYPERBOLIC QUASICRYSTALS IN HIGHER DIMENSIONS

HIGHER DIMENSIONS AND A CONJECTURE OF THURSTON

- A conceptual problem/test:
 - 1d is too topological: no curvature, where tiles live/lengths is pretty meaningless
 - Is this really a relationship between geometry of hyperbolic tessellations and quasicrystals?
- Can we identify a similar relationship in higher dimensions?
 - ► Recall in H³ there were 4 regular tessellations: {4,3,5}, {5,3,4}, {5,3,5}, and {3,5,3} [right]

Conjecture: Boyle (2019) Thurston (?)

The boundary of the {3,5,3} lattice looks locally like the Penrose tiling.



GROWING {3,5,3}

By carefully thinking about how the bulk 3d patch grows, and making a careful analogy to the 2d bulk examples, we will see how 2d boundary tile data is encoded in the species and relative configurations of boundary vertices.





{3,5,3} PROJECTED



THE TILES



RESOLUTION OF CONJECTURE

■ 3 natural tiles in the growth of a single icosahedral cell

- With more complicated starting configurations, there will be more complicated tiles that can appear on the boundary
- Procedure defines a consistent 2d aperiodic substitution rule
- Using further constraints (like χ) one can show (similar to 2d) that you only need to consider two prototiles (in principle)

27

RESOLUTION OF CONJECTURE

■ 3 natural tiles in the growth of a single icosahedral cell

- With more complicated starting configurations, there will be more complicated tiles that can appear on the boundary
- Procedure defines a consistent 2d aperiodic substitution rule
- Using further constraints (like χ) one can show (similar to 2d) that you only need to consider two prototiles (in principle)
- The resulting 3d/2d substitution rule turns out to have scale factor $\lambda_{\{3,5,3\}} = \lambda_{Penrose}^2$.
 - A priori, this doesn't mean our answer is wrong, perhaps we just didn't find a fine-grained enough set of boundary tiles.
 - By consider the space of Penrose tilings, can show there is no refinement that produces Penrose tilings.
 - Thurston's conjecture appears to be false.

26



- 1. Hyperbolic lattices and quasicrystals
 - Hyperbolic lattices and quasicrystals exist. There appears to be a relationship between them.
 - What does the topping-out of regular lattices in \mathbb{H}^4 mean?
- 2. New quasiperiodic patterns
 - 2d Quasicrystals with 5-fold symmetry are all the Penrose Tiling or close cousins: produced a new 5-fold symmetric quasicrystal from hyperbolic space techniques.
 - ► Is there a similar near-miss for the Elser-Sloane quasicrystal?
- 3. Applications to physics (holography, condensed matter)
 - Can we extend the results of Erdmenger et al to higher dimensions?



FAILED TILING



AMMANN LINES

